



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,603	03/17/2004	Jorg Sudau	4452-600	4965
27799	7590 10/27/2005		EXAM	INER
•	NTANI, LIEBERMA	BONCK, RODNEY H		
551 FIFTH AV	VENUE			2.222.422
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10176			3681	
			DATE MAILED, 10/27/200	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/802,603	SUDAU, JORG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney H. Bonck	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	entember 2005					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,=	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following action is in response to the amendment received September 30, 2005.

## Claim Objections

The amendment to claim 1 corrects the spelling error in claim 1. Therefore, the objection set forth on page 2 of the previous Office action is withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There are still some inconsistencies in the terminology used in the claims. Line 7 of claim 1 defines "at least one first friction element" and line 12 defines "at least one second friction element"; but there is no proper antecedent basis for "said at least one second friction lining element", in lines 13-14 of claim 1, lines 2 of claim 2, and lines 2-3 of claim 3. Similarly, there is no proper antecedent for "said first friction lining element", lines 2-3 of claim 2 and line 2 of claim 3. Also in claim 1, in lines 26-27 and lines 29-30, "said at least first friction element" apparently should be – said at least one first friction element --. Further regarding claim

clear basis for referring to two or three of the elements in claim 2.

Art Unit: 3681

2, if the first and second "friction lining elements" are intended to refer to the previously defined "at least one first friction element" and "at least one second friction element", note that only "at least one" of these elements have been defined. Thus there is no

Page 3

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasse(WO 02/0709913 A1). The Sasse device shows a clutch arrangement comprising a housing which can be filled with fluid, the housing having an axially extending part that is radially spaced from the axis and includes a plurality of axially extending and circumferentially spaced rows of first engaging elements 70. The clutch further includes first friction elements 22 that have second engaging elements 68 cooperating with the first engaging elements. Second friction elements are connected to a power takeoff element 26. The first and second friction elements have cooperating frictionally active sides. Friction lining carriers (e.g., Figs. 2 and 3) comprise a row of carrier segments; and friction lining segments are supported on the carrier segments and conform to the outer contour of the segments. The segments define fluid transport surfaces (e.g., at 74, 76) that are circumferentially oriented flat surfaces. The axially extending housing

surface in Sasse prevents fluid from exiting the housing in the region of engagement of the first and second engaging elements. The alternative embodiments of Figs. 25-30 show two, second friction elements interleaved with three, first friction elements. Note in Fig. 1 that certain of the elements can be provided without friction lining segments.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sasse('561) is cited as the English language equivalent to the Sasse WIPO document applied in the above rejection. Sasse(WO 02/068837 A1) is cited to show another fluid tight clutch housing. Sasse('105) is cited as the English language equivalent of Sasse(WO 02/068837 A1). Sasse('243) is cited to show the clutch of Figs. 1 and 2.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3681

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney H. Bonck whose telephone number is (571) 272-7089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,603

Art Unit: 3681

603 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney H. Bonck Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

rhb October 24, 2005